

Soil Conservation Service

P. O. Box 11350 Salt Lake City, Utah 84147 Roceived-DOE Grand Jct. Proj. Office

JUN 0 7 1990

June 4, 1990

Mr. Dee J. Williamson U. S. Dept. of Energy Grand Junction Projects Office P. O. Box 2567 Grand Junction, Colorado 81502

Dear Mr. Williamson:

We have reviewed the project for the proposed depository for uranium tailings.

The area is determined to be excluded from all categories of Important Farmland. A completed form AD-1006 is enclosed.

If we can be of further assistance, please call on us at (801) 524-5064.

Sincerely,

FERRIS P. ALLGOOD

State Soil Scientist

Enclosure

U.S. Department of Agriculture

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

	·- ·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
[I (To be completed by Federal Agency)			e Of Land Evaluation Request May 16 1990					
Name Of Project / O		Feder	Federal Agency Involved					
Proposed Land Use Coun			S: Dept: of Energy Ly And State					
Depository for Gram	um Tailing	as Do	in Juan		y UT	AH		
PART II To be completed by SCS	भवस्याते वास्त्रात्री	Date	Request Received	By SCS.				
Does the site contain prime; unique; statewide								
(If no, the FPPA does not apply - do not con					and the countries			
Major Crop(s)	Farmable Land II	بمستحر مورد سرحي بالأفرانية		Amount Of	Farmland As Def	ined in FPPA		
Name Of Land Evaluation System Used				Date Land E	valuation Return	ed-By/SCS		
indrigation that the contraction is the	POTATE CO.	milh ilaigi	in the an	en werenne	C08: - 4160: I	ZE COMPONE		
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)			Alternative Site Rating					
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D		
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly	,	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			, -		
C. Total Acres In Site	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				•			
PART IV (To be completed by SCS). Land Evalu	ation Information			A COLUMN TO A COLU				
A: Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland	<u>, in the state of the same</u>	AMERICA CALL PROPERTY.				RESERVE AND ADDRESS.		
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Importa			A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	# Web Grant Advant	200 to 100 to 10	Refs		
C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Of Loc			A STATE OF THE STA	SVERY STATE				
D. Percentage Of Farralland in Govt Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher, Relative Value			A LIGHT WEST	THE STREET	T. Hara Tarii			
PART V (To be completed by SCS): Land Evalue			608383333	W 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18				
Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Conv	erted (<i>Scale of Oto 1</i>	OO Points) 🤾				2.48		
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency)		Maximum				. , .		
\ssessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in		Points	• •	***				
. Area In Nonurban Use				* * *	1			
2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use								
3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed								
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government		<u> </u>	merchanic state of					
5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
6. Distance To Urban Support Services		·						
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To	Average	. ,						
8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland								
9. Availability Of Farm Support Services		•				-		
10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services		,			_			
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use		· · · · · ·						
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS		160						
					ļ	<u> </u>		
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)		·						
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)		100		· 	,			
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)		160		,				
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260		<u>-</u>				
Site Selected:	Date Of Selection			Was A Local Sit Yes	e Assessment Use	ed? No □		

Reason For Selection:

U.S. Department of Agriculture

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

! (To be completed by Federal Agency)		Date	Date Of Land Evaluation Reguest					
Monticello Remedial Action Project Feder		al Agency Involv	ed of E					
Proposed Land Use	Hon Holeci	Coupi	S. Dep 1 y And State	. OT C	nergy			
Dopository for fran	ium lailin		in Juan		y, UT	AH		
PART II (To be completed by SCS)	anggar ara ing	Date I	Request Received	By SCS				
Does the site contain prime, unique, statewid	e or local importan		H GERRY Yes	lor Acres Irrigat	ed/ Average Fari	n Size		
(If no, the FPPA does not apply - do not con	mplete additional p	arts of this fo	rm): 🗆 🖂	X s. marya	iat bostie w	แหม่ประเทศ		
Major Crop(s)	Farmable Land	In Govt, Jurisdi	ction	Amount Of	Farmland As Def	ined in FPPA		
Andre mass, begindly to methan the				Acres:				
Name Of Land Evaluation System Used	Name Of Local	Site Assessmen	System Thuc y	Gate Land E	valuation Return	ed By SCS		
economic record agentous as the	Hallenial Caver	eds Legerick	service was	profession of the	TO STATE OF THE PARTY.	and the same of		
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)			Alternative Site Rating Site A Site B Site C Site D					
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly								
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly								
C. Total Acres In Site								
PART IV (To be completed by SCS) Land Evalu	uation Information	रेकेन्द्रिके हे । क्षानुकृति कि न्यूटिक् रे	a, was our weekele	ी विश्वित दश्य	MENLEDI AV	त्र श्रीके क्ष्मिक्य विकास विकास है। विकास		
A: Total-Acres Prime And Unique Farmland	LT TORRESTE HERA	BEGINDE V- M	cer,	e et grafia	Specific services	Structure School		
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Import		Table of the	44 to 14 kg.	1		to the		
C. Percentage Of/Earmland in County Or Loc		Converted			134 5 4 5 4 5 121.			
D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt: Jurisdiction			eddamir om dda	कार्यक्रमाधिक व्हा	- hickor Tres			
PART V (To be completed by SCS). Land Evalu			1. 3. 3. 5. 14. 15. 2.	The management of	also de est			
Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Conv		100 Points)	THE LANGESTING	Art and all and	er Nalida	A STORES		
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency,		11						
ssessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in		Maximum Points	,					
. Area In Nonurban Use		Face and	1		·			
2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use								
3 Percent Of Site Being Farmed			, 3	P				
4. Protection Provided By State And Local	Government		Sept.	, pr		•		
5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area			A.		-			
6. Distance To Urban Support Services			9	1 E.				
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To	Average		3.	- The state of				
8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland								
9. Availability Of Farm Support Services	1 ,, (,)		1 , 1 ,	*		<u> </u>		
10. On-Farm Investments	,	-				-		
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services			,	26.				
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use		•	34.0	-34				
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS		160	C	8	•			
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)			73	(U				
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)		100		=				
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local		160						
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260			·			
Site Selected:	Date Of Selection	L <u>.</u>	L	Was A Local Sit Yes	e Assessment Use	d?. lo 🔲		
				L				

meason For Selection:

U.S. Department of Agriculture

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

`I (To be completed by Federal Agency)		Date Of Land Evaluation Request					
Name Of Project Revieded Action Project Feder U.		leral Agency Involved of Energy					
Proposed Land Use // Coup			aty And State				
	10m a1/10		Request Received		7, 111	<u>/\/ </u>	
PART.II. To be completed by SGS)	ACOPTA SET LISE TO SEE					1000年	
Does the site contain prime unique statewice (If no the FPRA does not apply - do not co					ed. Average Fam	24 July 194 19	
Major Crop(s)	Farmable Land I				Farmland As Defi		
	Acres:	Marin Co.	% :(Acres:		· %	
Name Of Land Evaluation System Used)	valuation Return	ed By 6CS	
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency,)	Alternative Site Rating					
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly			Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D	
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly							
C. Total Acres In Site							
PART/IV (To be completed by SCS) Land Eval	luation information:	akti e n	THE STREET	Jan 25 7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 1 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
A.S. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland			married Anglish			Thurs Sales	
Bis Total Acres Statewide And Local Impor			LELE HERE	75 6 4	12	Con Grander	
C.S. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Lo	·····	20nverted.:	at at malinia	•1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	19.4		
Daw Percentage Of Farmland In Govt, Jurisdiction					2. 1000年 中一時門在職	The section is selected.	
PART V (To be completed by SCS). Land Eval	uation Criterion verted (Scale of 0 to 1	00 Points)				加强影響	
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency		Maximum					
ssessment Criteria (These criteria are explained i	n / CFR 658.5(D)	Points					
Area In Nonurban Use							
2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use				*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Percent Of Site Being Farmed			3.65		,		
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government				, SS, F rep.			
5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area6. Qistance To Urban Support Services		-	\$10. 24%				
	Ανοτοσο		· · ·	- स्टा <u>म्</u>			
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland							
9. Availability Of Farm Support Services			5; (C.)	į.			
10. On-Farm Investments							
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services			-	13 -41			
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use			30.00			:	
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS		160	- Can	356			
		100	8	•			
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)			<u>va.</u>				
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)		100					
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)		160					
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260					
Site Selected:	Date Of Selection			Was A Local Sit Yes	e Assessment Use	d? lo 🗆	

Reason For Selection: